

United States Patent and Trademark Office



·UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,404	06/23/2003	Larry Randall Daudet	98356CONCONCON	7735
26285 7	590 11/06/2006		EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
555 51:111111	35 SMITHFIELD STREET ITTSBURGH, PA 15222		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/601,404	DAUDET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chapman E. Jeanette	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
	, _					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims in each of U.S. Patent No. 6418694, 6761005, 6691478, 6301854 in view of Frobosilo (5687538). Patents '694, '005, '478, '854 recite an apparatus for supporting a plurality of joist. The terms may vary slightly. The apparatus comprising:

- A joist rim 20 having
 - o A web
 - First and second rim legs
- At least one opening through the web

Application/Control Number: 10/601,404

Art Unit: 3635

Page 3

- A joist attachment tab integrally formed in the web adjacent each said opening; each of the tabs extending from the web at an angle relative to the web
- The angle between each tab and the web is 90 degrees
- Each joist attachment tabs has a plurality of fastener holes there through
- Fastener holes in the first and second legs
- Fastener holes in the webs
- the openings through the web also forming a tab
- a tab and an opening through the web. Specifically the tab comprises a
 portion of the web bent outward from another portion of the web at an
 angle.
- A first rim leg positioned vertically above the second rim leg wherein
- the first leg is configured to support a compressive load thereon
- The second rim leg is configured to support the apparatus structure
- The web is configured to transfer loads from the joist tabs to the second rim leg
- The web configured to transfer compressive load form the first leg to the second leg.

Patent '694 lacks a recitation of the reinforcing rib corresponding to each of the tabs and provided in each said web adjacent said corresponding tab. Frosbosilo et al discloses a joist with reinforcement ribs 74a/76/78 adjacent the opening 60. Frosbosilo et al includes at least one other reinforcing rib 74a adjacent each opening. The

Application/Control Number: 10/601,404

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

reinforcing ribs comprise indentations that are embossed on a surface of the web. See column 5, lines 10-45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to claim the ribs in the apparatus of the above cited patents to include the ribs adjacent the openings 60 to improve the resistance to stress distortion and torsional stress distortion as taught by Frobosilo et al. With the vertical ribs added, the vertical surface of the rib would be parallel to the tab.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 703-308-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Naoko Slack can be reached on 571-272-6841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EANETTE E. CHAPMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 8400